UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/595,475 | 04/21/2006 | Timothy Hugh Norman | RED-70 | 1202 |
| 20311 LUCAS & MEI | 7590 01/31/200 RCANTI. LLP | EXAMINER | | |
| 475 PARK AVI | | STERLING, AMY JO | | |
| 15TH FLOOR NEW YORK, NY 10016 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3632 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 01/31/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| | 10/595,475 | NORMAN, TIMOTHY HUGH | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | AMY J. STERLING | 3632 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| Status | | | | | |
| Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>21 Ap</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E | action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 6-16 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 21 April 2006 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the or | vn from consideration. r election requirement. r. ⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to l drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See | 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | |
| | anniler. Note the attached Office | ACTION OF IOTH PTO-192. | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: | ite | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/595,475 Page 2

Art Unit: 3632

DETAILED ACTION

This is the first Office Action for application number 10/595,475, UNIVERSAL MOUNTING FOR A VEHICLE REAR VIEW MIRROR, filed on 4/21/06. Claims 1-16 are pending.

Specification

Applicant is reminded of the proper content of an abstract of the disclosure.

A patent abstract is a concise statement of the technical disclosure of the patent and should include that which is new in the art to which the invention pertains. If the patent is of a basic nature, the entire technical disclosure may be new in the art, and the abstract should be directed to the entire disclosure. If the patent is in the nature of an improvement in an old apparatus, process, product, or composition, the abstract should include the technical disclosure of the improvement. In certain patents, particularly those for compounds and compositions, wherein the process for making and/or the use thereof are not obvious, the abstract should set forth a process for making and/or use thereof. If the new technical disclosure involves modifications or alternatives, the abstract should mention by way of example the preferred modification or alternative.

The abstract should not refer to purported merits or speculative applications of the invention and should not compare the invention with the prior art.

Where applicable, the abstract should include the following:

- (1) if a machine or apparatus, its organization and operation;
- (2) if an article, its method of making;
- (3) if a chemical compound, its identity and use;
- (4) if a mixture, its ingredients;
- (5) if a process, the steps.

Extensive mechanical and design details of apparatus should not be given.

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it does not follow proper procedure. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Objections

Claims 6-16 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim cannot depend from another multiple independent claim and the multiple dependent claims contain improper phrases. See MPEP § 608.01(n) for proper wording of an multiple dependent claim. Accordingly, the claims 6-16 not been further treated on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The claims are generally narrative and indefinite, failing to conform with current U.S. practice. They appear to be a literal translation into English from a foreign document and are replete with grammatical and idiomatic errors.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by United States Patent No. 6719255 to Chen.

The patent to Chen discloses auniversal mount having a mirror arm engaging surface and clamping means (20, 30), in which the clamping means are adapted to

extend around or through a mirror arm with which the mounting is to be used, and to urge the mirror arm engaging surface against said mirror arm, and in which the mirror arm engaging surface is adapted to engage mirror arms of any cross section, wherein the mirror arm engaging surface comprises a first substantially rectangular shaped recess formed in the mounting, the longer side of which forms the base of the recess, and a second substantially V-shaped recess formed in said base, in which the two surfaces are angled at approximately 120 degrees to one another and wherein the width of the second recess is less than the width of the base, such that a flat section of the base remains on each side of the second recess.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over United States Patent No. 6719255 to Chen as applied to claims 1-3 above.

Chen discloses applicant's basic inventive concept, all the elements which are shown above with the exception that it does not show the specific dimensions of 16 to 28 mm of the diameter of the cross section of the mirror that it is designed to hold.

Application/Control Number: 10/595,475 Page 5

Art Unit: 3632

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have made the cross-section diameter of the intended mirror of any dimension, in order to support the device in the manner desired, the dimension being a design choice which would be obvious to optimize. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made from the teachings of Chen to have optimized the dimensions of the device including 16 to 28mm.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Amy J. Sterling at telephone number 571-272-6823. The examiner can normally be reached (Mon-Fri 8am-5:00pm). The fax machine number for the Technology center is 571-273-8300 (formal amendments), informal amendments or communications 571-273-6823. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Technology Center receptionist at 571-272-3600.

/Amy J. Sterling/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3632 2/1/08